## Approved For Release 2006/01/17 : CIA-RDP80B01495R000600150040-9



400,0000

14 September 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Intelligence

SUBJECT: Newspaper Accounts of U.S. Wheat Sales to China

1. This morning's New York Times (p. 1) and Washington Post (p. A26) both carry reports of U.S. wheat sales to China but differ on the amounts and other details of the sale. According to the Post story, the amount involved is 20 million bushels (540,000 tons), worth nearly \$40 million at current world prices. We believe the details of the Post article are accurate except that Chinese imports from Canada this year are likely to be nearer 4 million than 5 million tons.

- 2. In recent years China has imported 4 million to 5 million tons of wheat from non-Communist suppliers as a convenient way of feeding its large northern and eastern cities; last year the amount dipped to 3.25 million tons because of the good 1970 harvest and a drive to conserve foreign exchange, but this year the amount will return to the normal range.
- 3. The articles do not specify the time of delivery of the U.S. grain. We doubt that Peking has pressing need for large additional amounts of grain in 1972 beyond the 4 million Canadian tons already scheduled. Even if additional amounts do not seem essential at this time, they can serve useful purposes such as building up reserve stocks, hedging against unanticipated harvest losses, and lubricating relations with key foreign countries.

Director Economic Research

25X1

25X1

## MAINLAND CHINA REPORTED BUYING FIRST U.S. WHEAT

Butz May Announce the Deal Today — Purchase Might Reach 500,000 Tons

> By E. W. KENWORTHY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 13 — Secretary of Agriculture Earl L. Butz is expected to announce tomorrow the first sale of American wheat to China.

Representative Graham Purcell, Democrat of Texas, said that he would not be surprised if Mr. Butz announced the sale at the opening of hearings tomorrow by the House Agriculture Subcommittee on Livestock and Grains into possible windfall profits by exporters from the Soviet-American wheat deal.

#### Only 'Token Sale Now'

According to The Southwestern Miller Report, a grain trade journal in Kansas City, which reported today the imminent opening of grain sales to China, the initial sale is 400,000 to 500,000 tons, or 14.5 million to 18 million bushels.

Compared with the 400 million bushels bought by the Soviet Union under the deal announced by President Nixon and Mr. Butz on July 8, the sale to China is relatively small.

Robert Breithaupt, an officer of the Sosland Company, which publishes The Southwestern Miller Report, said of the prospective sale to the mainland Government:

"A year ago it would have been huge. It's a token sale now, but the one that breaks the ice."

Mr. Breithaupt and Melvin Sjervin, another official of the company, also confirmed reports circulating in Washington that the export firm that negotiated the sale was Louis Dreyfus Corporation of New York City.

"The market activity points to them," said Mr. Breithaupt.
The way the Export Marketing Service of the Department of Agriculture learns of such foreign sales is through the receipt of applications from American grain exporters for subsidies.

These subsidies, called "equalization payments," are designed to make American grain competitive on the world market. The subsidy amounts to the difference between the domestic price and the lesser world price. The subsidy, which is authorized by the 1948 charter of the Commodity Credit Corporation is set daily.

There have been rumors here, which the Export Marketing Service would neither deny nor confirm, that Dreyfus has applied for export subsidies for a sale to China.

The whole subject of exportsubsidies on the Soviet deal is expected to get a thorough airoing in the three days of hear-

1 Continued on Page 13, Column 1

# NESE REPORT

### Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

ings scheduled by Representative Purcell.

He and several other members of Congress, as well as the National Farmers Union and Consumers Union, have charged that the big six ex-porters supplying the wheat under the United States-Soviet deal made upward of \$100-million out of a "special subsidy" arising from the magnitude of the deal.

This is how they explain the total of \$100-million:

It has been the policy of the Department of Agriculture to try to hold world prices at \$1.63 to \$1.65 a bushel by currenteing a subside by guaranteeing a subsidy be-tween that price and the United States domestic price. The Russians are believed to have con-

tracted to buy at about \$1.63.

However, in August, as the extent of Soviet purchases began to be known, the domestic price began to rise sharply from an average of \$1.76 in July to \$2.05.

#### World Price Also Rises

The world price also rose from \$1.63 to \$1.69. However, the Agriculture Department continued paying a subsidy based on the \$1.63 world price.

On Aug. 25, the department announced that it could no longer try to hold the world price at \$1.63 because of the stimulus to prices caused by the size of the Soviet pur-chases and the unavailability of wheat elsewhere.

At the same time it took the position it would be only fiar to pay a subsidy based on \$1.63 to those exporters who had completed transactions but not

yet applied for subsidies. yet applied for subsidies.
Consequently, the department said that for a period of one week, from Aug. 25 until Sept. 1, it would grant a subsidy of 47 cents a bushel, representing the difference between a domestic price of \$2.10 on Aug. 24 and the base of \$1.63, on all completed transactions. actions.

#### \$131.6-Million in Week

In that Week, 280 million bushels were registered for the 47-cent subsidy—a total of \$131.6-million.

The critics insist that most of this grain was purchased during July, when the domestic price was \$1.76 and the subsidy only 14 cents. The difference between the 47 cents and 14 cents on the 280 million bushels, they say, would amount \$92.4-million—all borne by to \$92.4-million—all borne by the taxpayers.

The National Farmers Union also asserts that farmers in the early-harvest states—Texas, Oklahoma and Kansas—sold their winter wheat in July at about \$1.32 a bushel for export because they were unaware that the Soviet Union would make such large purchases so soon. As a result, they probably lost more than \$66-million by not holding their wheat until August.

On the domestic wheat allot-ment—about 45 per cent of production—the union says that early sales also cost the farmers \$54-million through re-

armers \$54-million through reduced Federal payments.

Presumably China is paying today's world price of about \$1.95 to \$1.98 a bushel. And presumably Dreyfus will get a present subsidy of about 27 cents a bushel. However, if it bought the wheat in July at bought the wheat in July at \$1.63 and registered it for subsidy during the Aug. 25-Sept. 1 period the company could get a subsidy of 47 cents a bushel.

The Purcell subcommittee is expected to guestion Market

expected to question Mr. Butz aggressively on all this tomorrow.

st.

ti. nt a-

CIA-RDR80B**24**495R000600150040-9 Approved For Relea

## reat Deal

By Donald M. Kendall Associated Press

yesterday, that the United that China soon would open States has sold some wheat to up as a new U.S. farm export China, the first American market. grain sale to that country in more than 20 years.

U.S. export company is in-lic of China for the delivery of volved. The Agriculture De- 20 million bushels of grain. It

however, the department has the case for the purchase of 10 received applications for ex- jet airliners from Boeing by port subsidies on wheat to be the Peking government for shipped to China.

Secretary of Agriculture Earl Butz, questioned after a that the Chinese may be purspeech at "Farmfest U.S.A." chasing American wheat as a in Vernon Center, Minn., said token political gesture rather that if a deal was pending or than out of any real need. already concluded, he was un- China is expected to import aware of it. He said, however, five million tons of wheat this that "Down the road sometime year from Canada. is a substantial trade agreement with China. I don't know also been good. how close it will be. The President opened the door a little have imported wheat from bit with his China trip."

He also said a deal conceivably could have been made by a private company and the government would not neces has been delivered to China's sarily know of it, especially port cities, which are far from if the company had a Europe an subsidiary. The deal was reported yesterday evening as "solid."

ers to make U.S. wheat more hearing on the question of earket. Rumors have circulated in to the Soviet Union. the grain trade that China has administration officials have this summer.

Government sources said|been predicting for some time

yesterday, Late sources said that an American The sources, asking not to grain firm has received an be identified, said at least one order from the Peoples Repubpartment declined comment. is expected that payment According to the sources, would be made in dollars, as is \$150 million.

(U.S. specialists speculate Chinese harvest this year has

In past years the Chinese Canada, Australia, France and other countries, partly because of their internal transport problems. Foreign wheat grain-growing areas of the interior.

The unofficial report of wheat sales to China came on · Subsidies are paid to export the eve of a congressional competitive on the world mar lier, much larger wheat sales

Those sales, amounting to ordered at least 20 million 400 million bushels, helped bushels of U.S. wheat. Nixon push wheat prices up sharply